Exploiting Sparsity in Sums of Squares of Polynomials

Masakazu Kojima, Sunyoung Kim and Hayato Waki

18th International Symposium on Mathematical Programming 2003

August 18-22, 2003

Copenhagen, Denmark

- 1. Sums of squares of polynomials
- 2. Previous work
- 3. Representation of a nonnegative polynomial as a sum of squares
- 4. Numerical experiment
- 5. Concluding remarks

- 1. Sums of squares of polynomials
- 2. Previous work
- 3. Representation of a nonnegative polynomial as a sum of squares
- 4. Numerical experiment
- 5. Concluding remarks

Given a nonnegative polynomial f(x) in $x=(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$, represent f(x) in terms of SOS (a sum of squares of polynomials) such that $f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^k(g^i(x))^2$,

where k and polynomials $g^i(x)$ (i = 1, 2, ..., k) are unknown.

Two issues

• Is such a representation possible? ⇒ Hilbert

 $SOS \subset \oplus Pol \text{ and } SOS \neq \oplus Pol$

Here

SOS: the set of sums of squares of polynomials

⊕Pol: the set of nonnegative polynomials

- Computation \Rightarrow SDP (Semidefinite Program).
 - SDP relaxation of polynomial optimization problems. Lasserre '01
 - SOS optimization. Parrilo '03
 - Global optimization of rational functions. de Klerk ISMP2003.

Given a nonnegative polynomial f(x) in $x=(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$, represent f(x) in terms of SOS (a sum of squares of polynomials) such that $f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^k(g^i(x))^2$,

where k and polynomials $g^i(x)$ (i = 1, 2, ..., k) are unknown.

How do we compute such a representation?

Step 1. Choose "a suitable common support" for unknown polynomials $g^i(x)$ $(i=1,2,\ldots,k)$.

Step 2. Convert the problem into an LMI (Linear Matrix Inequality) or an SDP (Semidefinite Program).

Step 3. Solve the LMI or the SDP.

• A suitable common support chosen in Step 1 determines the size of the LMI or the SDP to be solved in Step 3.



For numerical efficiency in Step 3, we want to choose a smaller support in Step 1.

- 1. Sums of squares of polynomials
- 2. Previous work
- 3. Representation of a nonnegative polynomial as a sum of squares
- 4. Numerical experiment
- 5. Concluding remarks

Notation and symbols

For
$$\forall x=(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$$
 and $\forall \alpha=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n$, define a monomial $x^\alpha=x_1^{\alpha_1}x_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$.

Then we can write a polynomial f(x) in $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as

$$f(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} c_lpha x^lpha$$

for some nonempty finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ (a support of f(x)) and $c_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$ $(\alpha \in \mathcal{F})$.

We assume that f(x) is represented as SOS such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k (g^i(x))^2, \,\, g^i(x) = \sum_{lpha \in oldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}} v^i_lpha x^lpha.$$

Here a positive number k, a common support $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ of polynomials $g^i(x)$ $(i=1,2,\ldots,k)$ and the polynomials are unknown.

Let
$$\mathcal{F}^e \equiv \left\{ lpha \in \mathcal{F} : lpha_j \text{ is even } (j = 1, 2, \dots, n) \right\},$$
 $\mathcal{G}^0 \equiv \left(\text{convex hull of } \left\{ \frac{lpha}{2} : lpha \in \mathcal{F}^e \right\} \right) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+^n.$

$$egin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} c_lpha x^lpha &= \sum_{i=1}^k (g^i(x))^2, \ g^i(x) &= \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}} v^i_lpha x^lpha, \ \mathcal{F}^e &\equiv \left\{lpha \in \mathcal{F} : lpha_j \ ext{ is even } (j=1,2,\ldots,n)
ight\}, \ \mathcal{G}^0 &\equiv \left(ext{convex hull of } \left\{rac{lpha}{2} : lpha \in \mathcal{F}^e
ight\}
ight) igcap \mathbb{Z}^n_+. \end{aligned}$$

$$f(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} c_lpha x^lpha = \sum_{i=1}^k (g^i(x))^2, \; g^i(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}} v^i_lpha x^lpha, \ \mathcal{F}^e \equiv \left\{lpha \in \mathcal{F} : lpha_j \; ext{ is even } (j=1,2,\ldots,n)
ight\}, \ \mathcal{G}^0 \equiv \left(ext{convex hull of } \left\{rac{lpha}{2} : lpha \in \mathcal{F}^e
ight\}
ight) igcap \mathbb{Z}_+^n.$$

Example:
$$f(x) = 2 - 4x_1^3x_2^4 + 2x_1^4x_2^3 + 5x_1^6x_2^8 - 2x_1^7x_2^7 + 2x_1^8x_2^6$$
.

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &= \left\{ egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \, egin{pmatrix} 3 \ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \, egin{pmatrix} 4 \ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \, egin{pmatrix} 6 \ 8 \end{pmatrix}, \, egin{pmatrix} 7 \ 7 \end{pmatrix}, \, egin{pmatrix} 8 \ 6 \end{pmatrix}
ight\} \ \mathcal{F}^e &= \left\{ egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \, egin{pmatrix} 6 \ 8 \end{pmatrix}, \, egin{pmatrix} 8 \ 6 \end{pmatrix}
ight\} \ \mathcal{G}^0 &= \left\{ egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \, egin{pmatrix} 1 \ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \, egin{pmatrix} 2 \ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \, egin{pmatrix} 3 \ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \, egin{pmatrix} 3 \ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \, egin{pmatrix} 4 \ 3 \end{pmatrix}
ight\}
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

In this example, we can represent f(x) as a sum of squares of polynomials with the support \mathcal{G}^0 ;

$$f(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} c_lpha x^lpha = \sum_{i=1}^k (g^i(x))^2, \; g^i(x) = \sum_{lpha \in oldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}^0} v^i_lpha x^lpha$$

$$egin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} c_lpha x^lpha &= \sum_{i=1}^k (g^i(x))^2, \; g^i(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}} v^i_lpha x^lpha, \ \mathcal{F}^e &\equiv \left\{lpha \in \mathcal{F} : lpha_j \; ext{ is even } (j=1,2,\ldots,n)
ight\}, \ \mathcal{G}^0 &\equiv \left(ext{convex hull of } \left\{rac{lpha}{2} : lpha \in \mathcal{F}^e
ight\}
ight) igcap \mathbb{Z}_+^n. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 of Reznick '78.

$$v^i_lpha=0\,\,(i=1,2,\ldots,k)\,\, ext{if}\,\,lpha
ot\in\mathcal G^0.$$

• Therefore we can take \mathcal{G}^0 for a common support of unknown polynomials $g^i(x) \ (i=1,2,\ldots,k);$

$$f(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} c_lpha x^lpha = \sum_{i=1}^k (g^i(x))^2, \,\, g^i(x) = \sum_{lpha \in oldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}^0} v^i_lpha x^lpha$$

- Computation of \mathcal{G}^0 — discussed later.
- How can we reduce \mathcal{G}^0 further?

- 1. Sums of squares of polynomials
- 2. Previous work
- 3. Representation of a nonnegative polynomial as a sum of squares
- 4. Numerical experiment
- 5. Concluding remarks

$$f(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} c_lpha x^lpha = \sum_{i=1}^k (g^i(x))^2, \; g^i(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}} v^i_lpha x^lpha - - - (*)$$
 $\mathcal{F}^e \equiv \{lpha \in \mathcal{F} : lpha_j \; ext{ is even } (j=1,2,\ldots,n)\}$ $\mathcal{G}^0 \equiv \left(ext{convex hull of } \left\{ rac{lpha}{2} : lpha \in \mathcal{F}^e
ight\}
ight) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+^n \supset \mathcal{G}.$

$$\beta \in \mathcal{G}, \ \mathbf{2\beta} \not\in \mathcal{F}^e \ \text{ and } \mathbf{2\beta} \not\in (\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{G} \setminus \{\beta\}) - - - (1)$$

Then
$$v^i_eta = 0, \; orall i \in \{1,2,\ldots,k\}$$
 and $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}\setminus \{eta\}} v^i_lpha x^lpha
ight)^2$.

Idea of Proof: We see from (*) that

$$egin{aligned} \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} c_lpha x^lpha &= \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}} v^i_lpha x^lpha
ight)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(v^i_eta x^eta + \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}\setminus\{eta\}} v^i_lpha x^lpha
ight)^2 \ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \left(v^i_eta
ight)^2
ight) x^{2eta} + \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}\setminus\{eta\}} ilde{v}^i_lpha v^i_\gamma x^{lpha+\gamma}
ight). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\tilde{v}_{\alpha}^{i}=2v_{\alpha}^{i}$ if $\alpha=\beta$ and $\tilde{v}_{\alpha}^{i}=v_{\alpha}^{i}$ otherwise.

$$f(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} c_lpha x^lpha = \sum_{i=1}^k (g^i(x))^2, \; g^i(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}} v_lpha^i x^lpha - - - (*)$$
 $\mathcal{F}^e \equiv \{ lpha \in \mathcal{F} : lpha_j \; ext{ is even } (j=1,2,\ldots,n) \}$ $\mathcal{G}^0 \equiv \left(ext{convex hull of } \left\{ rac{lpha}{2} : lpha \in \mathcal{F}^e
ight\}
ight) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+^n \supset \mathcal{G}.$

$$\beta \in \mathcal{G}, \ \mathbf{2\beta} \not\in \mathcal{F}^e \ \text{ and } \mathbf{2\beta} \not\in (\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{G} \setminus \{\beta\}) - - - (1)$$

Then
$$v^i_eta = 0, \; orall i \in \{1,2,\ldots,k\}$$
 and $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}\setminus \{eta\}} v^i_lpha x^lpha
ight)^2$.

Example: $f(x) = 2 - 4x_1^3x_2^4 + 2x_1^4x_2^3 + 5x_1^6x_2^8 - 2x_1^7x_2^7 + 2x_1^8x_2^6$.

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^e &= \left\{ egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 6 \ 8 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 8 \ 6 \end{pmatrix}
ight\}, \ \mathcal{G}^0 &= \left\{ egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 1 \ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 2 \ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 3 \ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 3 \ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 4 \ 3 \end{pmatrix}
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

Let
$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$
. Then $2\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix} \not\in \mathcal{F}^e$ and $2\beta \not\in (\mathcal{G}^0 + \mathcal{G}^0 \setminus \{\beta\})$.

Hence we can eliminate $\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$.

$$f(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} c_lpha x^lpha = \sum_{i=1}^k (g^i(x))^2, \; g^i(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}} v^i_lpha x^lpha - - - (*)$$
 $\mathcal{F}^e \equiv \{lpha \in \mathcal{F} : lpha_j \; ext{ is even } (j=1,2,\ldots,n)\}$ $\mathcal{G}^0 \equiv \left(ext{convex hull of } \left\{ rac{lpha}{2} : lpha \in \mathcal{F}^e
ight\}
ight) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+^n \supset \mathcal{G}.$

$$\beta \in \mathcal{G}, \ \mathbf{2\beta} \not\in \mathcal{F}^e \ \text{ and } \mathbf{2\beta} \not\in (\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{G} \setminus \{\beta\}) - - - (1)$$

Then
$$v^i_eta = 0, \; orall i \in \{1,2,\ldots,k\}$$
 and $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}\setminus \{eta\}} v^i_lpha x^lpha
ight)^2$.

$$ext{Example: } f(x) = 2 - 4x_1^3x_2^4 + 2x_1^4x_2^3 + 5x_1^6x_2^8 - 2x_1^7x_2^7 + 2x_1^8x_2^6.$$

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^e &= \left\{ egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 6 \ 8 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 8 \ 6 \end{pmatrix}
ight\}, \ \mathcal{G}^1 &= \left\{ egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 1 \ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 2 \ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 3 \ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 3 \ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 4 \ 3 \end{pmatrix}
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

$$f(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} c_lpha x^lpha = \sum_{i=1}^k (g^i(x))^2, \; g^i(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}} v_lpha^i x^lpha - - - (*)$$
 $\mathcal{F}^e \equiv \{ lpha \in \mathcal{F} : lpha_j \; ext{ is even } (j=1,2,\ldots,n) \}$ $\mathcal{G}^0 \equiv \left(ext{convex hull of } \left\{ rac{lpha}{2} : lpha \in \mathcal{F}^e
ight\}
ight) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+^n \supset \mathcal{G}.$

$$\beta \in \mathcal{G}, \ \mathbf{2\beta} \not\in \mathcal{F}^e \ \text{ and } \mathbf{2\beta} \not\in (\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{G} \setminus \{\beta\}) - - - (1)$$

Then
$$v^i_eta = 0, \; orall i \in \{1,2,\ldots,k\}$$
 and $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}\setminus \{eta\}} v^i_lpha x^lpha
ight)^2$.

 $ext{Example: } f(x) = 2 - 4x_1^3x_2^4 + 2x_1^4x_2^3 + 5x_1^6x_2^8 - 2x_1^7x_2^7 + 2x_1^8x_2^6.$

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^e &= \left\{ egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 6 \ 8 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 8 \ 6 \end{pmatrix}
ight\}, \ \mathcal{G}^1 &= \left\{ egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 1 \ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 2 \ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 3 \ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 3 \ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 4 \ 3 \end{pmatrix}
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let } 2\beta = \left(\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 2 \end{array} \right) \text{. Then } \mathbf{2\beta} = \left(\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 4 \end{array} \right) \not \in \mathcal{F}^e \ \text{ and } \mathbf{2\beta} \not \in \left(\mathcal{G}^1 + \mathcal{G}^1 \backslash \{\beta\} \right).$$

Hence we can eliminate $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

$$f(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} c_lpha x^lpha = \sum_{i=1}^k (g^i(x))^2, \; g^i(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}} v^i_lpha x^lpha - - - (*)$$
 $\mathcal{F}^e \equiv \{lpha \in \mathcal{F} : lpha_j \; ext{ is even } (j=1,2,\ldots,n)\}$ $\mathcal{G}^0 \equiv \left(ext{convex hull of } \left\{ rac{lpha}{2} : lpha \in \mathcal{F}^e
ight\}
ight) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+^n \supset \mathcal{G}.$

$$\beta \in \mathcal{G}, \ \mathbf{2\beta} \not\in \mathcal{F}^e \ \text{ and } \mathbf{2\beta} \not\in (\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{G} \setminus \{\beta\}) - - - (1)$$

Then
$$v^i_eta = 0, \; orall i \in \{1,2,\ldots,k\}$$
 and $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}\setminus \{eta\}} v^i_lpha x^lpha
ight)^2$.

$$ext{Example: } f(x) = 2 - 4x_1^3x_2^4 + 2x_1^4x_2^3 + 5x_1^6x_2^8 - 2x_1^7x_2^7 + 2x_1^8x_2^6.$$

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^e &= \left\{ egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 6 \ 8 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 8 \ 6 \end{pmatrix}
ight\}, \ \mathcal{G}^2 &= \left\{ egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 1 \ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 2 \ 2 \end{pmatrix}, egin{pmatrix} 3 \ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 3 \ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 4 \ 3 \end{pmatrix}
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

$$f(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} c_lpha x^lpha = \sum_{i=1}^k (g^i(x))^2, \; g^i(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}} v_lpha^i x^lpha - - - (*)$$
 $\mathcal{F}^e \equiv \{ lpha \in \mathcal{F} : lpha_j \; ext{ is even } (j=1,2,\ldots,n) \}$ $\mathcal{G}^0 \equiv \left(ext{convex hull of } \left\{ rac{lpha}{2} : lpha \in \mathcal{F}^e
ight\}
ight) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+^n \supset \mathcal{G}.$

$$\beta \in \mathcal{G}, \ \mathbf{2\beta} \not\in \mathcal{F}^e \ \text{ and } \mathbf{2\beta} \not\in (\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{G} \setminus \{\beta\}) - - - (1)$$

Then
$$v^i_eta = 0, \; orall i \in \{1,2,\ldots,k\}$$
 and $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}\setminus \{eta\}} v^i_lpha x^lpha
ight)^2.$

$$ext{Example: } f(x) = 2 - 4x_1^3x_2^4 + 2x_1^4x_2^3 + 5x_1^6x_2^8 - 2x_1^7x_2^7 + 2x_1^8x_2^6.$$

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^e &= \left\{ egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \, egin{pmatrix} 6 \ 8 \end{pmatrix}, \, egin{pmatrix} 8 \ 6 \end{pmatrix}
ight\}, \ \mathcal{G}^2 &= \left\{ egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \, egin{pmatrix} 1 \ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \, egin{pmatrix} 2 \ 2 \end{pmatrix}, egin{pmatrix} 3 \ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \, egin{pmatrix} 3 \ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \, egin{pmatrix} 4 \ 3 \end{pmatrix}
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can eliminate $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

$$f(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} c_lpha x^lpha = \sum_{i=1}^k (g^i(x))^2, \; g^i(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}} v_lpha^i x^lpha - - - (*)$$
 $\mathcal{F}^e \equiv \{ lpha \in \mathcal{F} : lpha_j \; ext{ is even } (j=1,2,\ldots,n) \}$ $\mathcal{G}^0 \equiv \left(ext{convex hull of } \left\{ rac{lpha}{2} : lpha \in \mathcal{F}^e
ight\}
ight) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+^n \supset \mathcal{G}.$

$$\beta \in \mathcal{G}, \ \mathbf{2\beta} \not\in \mathcal{F}^e \ \text{ and } \mathbf{2\beta} \not\in (\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{G} \setminus \{\beta\}) - - - (1)$$

Then
$$v^i_eta = 0, \; orall i \in \{1,2,\ldots,k\}$$
 and $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}\setminus \{eta\}} v^i_lpha x^lpha
ight)^2$.

Example:
$$f(x) = 2 - 4x_1^3x_2^4 + 2x_1^4x_2^3 + 5x_1^6x_2^8 - 2x_1^7x_2^7 + 2x_1^8x_2^6$$
.

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^e &= \left\{ egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 6 \ 8 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 8 \ 6 \end{pmatrix}
ight\}, \ \mathcal{G}^* &= \left\{ egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 1 \ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 2 \ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 3 \ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 3 \ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 4 \ 3 \end{pmatrix}
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

Now we can not reduce \mathcal{G}^* by applying Theorem 1, and we obtain "the minimal support" in this case.

$$f(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} c_lpha x^lpha = \sum_{i=1}^k (g^i(x))^2, \; g^i(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}} v^i_lpha x^lpha - - - (*)$$
 $\mathcal{F}^e \equiv \{ lpha \in \mathcal{F} : lpha_j \; ext{ is even } (j=1,2,\ldots,n) \}$ $\mathcal{G}^0 \equiv \left(ext{convex hull of } \left\{ rac{lpha}{2} : lpha \in \mathcal{F}^e
ight\}
ight) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+^n \supset \mathcal{G}.$

$$\beta \in \mathcal{G}, \ \mathbf{2\beta} \not\in \mathcal{F}^e \ \text{ and } \mathbf{2\beta} \not\in (\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{G} \setminus \{\beta\}) - - - (1)$$

Then
$$v^i_eta = 0, \; orall i \in \{1,2,\ldots,k\}$$
 and $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}\setminus \{eta\}} v^i_lpha x^lpha
ight)^2$.

Example:
$$f(x) = 2 - 4x_1^3x_2^4 + 2x_1^4x_2^3 + 5x_1^6x_2^8 - 2x_1^7x_2^7 + 2x_1^8x_2^6$$
.

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^e &= \left\{ egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 6 \ 8 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 8 \ 6 \end{pmatrix}
ight\}, \ \mathcal{G}^* &= \left\{ egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 1 \ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 2 \ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 3 \ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 3 \ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \; egin{pmatrix} 4 \ 3 \end{pmatrix}
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

 \Longrightarrow Find a 3×3 $V \succeq O$ such that

$$f(x) = \left(x^{(0\ 0)}, x^{(3\ 4)}, x^{(4\ 3)}
ight) V\left(x^{(0\ 0)}, x^{(3\ 4)}, x^{(4\ 3)}
ight)^T \;\; ext{ for } orall x \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

 \Longrightarrow Find a 3×3 $V \succeq O$ such that

$$2 = V_{11}, \ -4 = V_{12} + V_{21}, \ 2 = V_{13} + V_{31}, \ 5 = V_{22}, \ \dots$$

$$f(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} c_lpha x^lpha = \sum_{i=1}^k (g^i(x))^2, \; g^i(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}} v^i_lpha x^lpha - - - (*)$$
 $\mathcal{F}^e \equiv \{lpha \in \mathcal{F} : lpha_j \; ext{ is even } (j=1,2,\ldots,n)\}$ $\mathcal{G}^0 \equiv \left(ext{convex hull of } \left\{ rac{lpha}{2} : lpha \in \mathcal{F}^e
ight\}
ight) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+^n \supset \mathcal{G}.$

$$\beta \in \mathcal{G}, \ \mathbf{2\beta} \not\in \mathcal{F}^e \ \text{ and } \mathbf{2\beta} \not\in (\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{G} \setminus \{\beta\}) - - - (1)$$

Then
$$v^i_eta = 0, \; orall i \in \{1,2,\ldots,k\}$$
 and $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}\setminus \{eta\}} v^i_lpha x^lpha
ight)^2$.

Define the class Γ of suitable supports of $g^i(x)$ recursively by

- 1. $\mathcal{G}^0 \in \Gamma$.
- 2. If $\mathcal{G} \in \Gamma$ and (1) holds then $\Gamma = \{\mathcal{G} \setminus \{\beta\}\} \cup \Gamma$.

Theorem 2 (Main result)

- (a) Γ is closed under intersection; if \mathcal{G} , $\mathcal{G}' \in \Gamma$ then $\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{G}' \in \Gamma$.
- (b) The smallest element $\mathcal{G}^* \in \Gamma$ exists; $\mathcal{G}^* \subset \mathcal{G}$ for $\forall \mathcal{G} \in \Gamma$.

- 1. Sums of squares of polynomials
- 2. Previous work
- 3. Representation of a nonnegative polynomial as a sum of squares
- 4. Numerical experiment
- 5. Concluding remarks

```
f(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} c_{lpha} x^{lpha} = \sum_{i=1}^k (g^i(x))^2, \ g^i(x) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}} v^i_{lpha} x^{lpha} \mathcal{F}^e = \{ lpha \in \mathcal{F} : lpha_j \ 	ext{ is even } (j=1,2,\ldots,n) \} \mathcal{G}^0 = \left( 	ext{convex hull of } \left\{ rac{lpha}{2} : lpha \in \mathcal{F}^e 
ight\} 
ight) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+^n \supset \mathcal{G}.
```

```
Phase 1: Computation of \mathcal{G}^0
```

Phase 2: Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}^0$. While (1) holds do $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G} \setminus \{\beta\}$. Then we obtain a minimal element of Γ , which coincides with the smallest element \mathcal{G}^* .

- Both Phases 1 and 2 are interesting combinatorial enumeration.
- Phase 1
- (a) Convex hull representation of a polytope our case
- (b) Inequality (or facet) representation of a polytope
- (1) Use cdd(Fukuda) to get (b) from (a). Apply LattE(Loera) to (b.
- (2) Apply a method (Barvinok-Pommersheim '99) directly to (a).
- (3) A new practical method?
- Simple methods for Phases 1 and 2 in our numerical experiment.

```
egin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} c_lpha x^lpha &= \sum_{i=1}^k (g^i(x))^2, \ g^i(x) &= \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}} v_lpha^i x^lpha \ \mathcal{F}^e &= \{lpha \in \mathcal{F} : lpha_j \ 	ext{ is even } (j=1,2,\ldots,n)\} \ \mathcal{G}^0 &= \left( 	ext{convex hull of } \left\{ rac{lpha}{2} : lpha \in \mathcal{F}^e 
ight\} 
ight) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+^n \supset \mathcal{G}. \end{aligned}
```

Phase 1: Computation of \mathcal{G}^0

Phase 2: Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}^0$. While (1) holds do $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G} \setminus \{\beta\}$. Then we obtain a minimal element of Γ , which coincides with the smallest element \mathcal{G}^* .

Test problems:
$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(x^{\alpha^i} + x^{\beta^i}\right)^2$$
, where $\alpha^i, \ \beta^i \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$: random.

An example: $n = 5, k = 8, \#\mathcal{F}^e = 16$:

$$\mathcal{F}^e = \{ \; (\; 0\; 6\; 4\; 0\; 0\;), \; (\; 4\; 4\; 0\; 0\; 4\;), \; (\; 6\; 2\; 2\; 0\; 4\;), \; (\; 0\; 8\; 0\; 4\; 4\;), \; (\; 2\; 6\; 2\; 6\; 0\;), \; (\; 6\; 0\; 4\; 4\; 4\;), \; (\; 2\; 2\; 8\; 0\; 6\;), \; (\; 10\; 4\; 4\; 0\; 0\;), \; (\; 4\; 8\; 2\; 4\; 2\;), \; (\; 0\; 4\; 4\; 8\; 4\;), \; (\; 4\; 0\; 4\; 2\; 10\;), \; (\; 10\; 6\; 0\; 2\; 4\;), \; (\; 4\; 2\; 6\; 2\; 8\;) \; (\; 8\; 6\; 6\; 2\; 2\;), \; (\; 8\; 6\; 4\; 2\; 6\;), \; (\; 8\; 10\; 12\; 4\; 10\;)\; \}$$

$$egin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} c_lpha x^lpha &= \sum_{i=1}^k (g^i(x))^2, \ g^i(x) &= \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}} v^i_lpha x^lpha \ \mathcal{F}^e &= \{lpha \in \mathcal{F} : lpha_j \ ext{ is even } (j=1,2,\ldots,n)\} \ \mathcal{G}^0 &= \left(ext{convex hull of } \left\{ rac{lpha}{2} : lpha \in \mathcal{F}^e
ight\}
ight) igcap \mathbb{Z}_+^n \supset \mathcal{G}. \end{aligned}$$

Phase 1: Computation of \mathcal{G}^0

Phase 2: Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}^0$. While (1) holds do $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G} \setminus \{\beta\}$. Then we obtain a minimal element of Γ , which coincides with the smallest element \mathcal{G}^* .

Numerical results 4 randomly generated problems with n=5

k = 3	$, \# \mathcal{F}'$	e = 6	k=4	$, \# \mathcal{F}$	e = 8	k = 8,	$\#\mathcal{F}^e$	=16
#facet	$\#\mathcal{G}^0$	$\#\mathcal{G}^*$	#facet	$\#\mathcal{G}^0$	$\#\mathcal{G}^*$	#facet	$\#\mathcal{G}^0$	$\#\mathcal{G}^*$
6	6	6	16	16	10	94	116	25
6	6	6	20	12	8	98	152	37
12	11	7	30	14	11	124	116	23
6	7	6	18	13	8	76	164	92

#facet = the number of facets of (convex hull of $\left\{\frac{\alpha}{2} : \alpha \in \mathcal{F}^e\right\}$)

$$egin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} c_lpha x^lpha &= \sum_{i=1}^k (g^i(x))^2, \ g^i(x) &= \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}} v_lpha^i x^lpha \ \mathcal{F}^e &= \{lpha \in \mathcal{F} : lpha_j \ ext{ is even } (j=1,2,\ldots,n)\} \ \mathcal{G}^0 &= \left(ext{convex hull of } \left\{ rac{lpha}{2} : lpha \in \mathcal{F}^e
ight\}
ight) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+^n \supset \mathcal{G}. \end{aligned}$$

Phase 1: Computation of \mathcal{G}^0

Phase 2: Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}^0$. While (1) holds do $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G} \setminus \{\beta\}$. Then we obtain a minimal element of Γ , which coincides with the smallest element \mathcal{G}^* .

Numerical results 4 randomly generated problems with n = 10

k = 10,	$\#\mathcal{F}^e$	=20	k=12,	$\#\mathcal{F}^e$	=24	k=15,	$\#\mathcal{F}^e$	=30
#facet	$\#\mathcal{G}^0$	$\#\mathcal{G}^*$	#facet	$\#\mathcal{G}^0$	$\#\mathcal{G}^*$	#facet	$\#\mathcal{G}^0$	$\#\mathcal{G}^*$
2330	186	20	6049	856	25	17760	248	31
2190	93	20	5981	193	${\bf 24}$	17368	97	32
1906	175	20	5357	456	26	15688	192	32
2081	81	21	5748	295	25	14786	118	30

#facet = the number of facets of (convex hull of $\{\frac{\alpha}{2} : \alpha \in \mathcal{F}^e\}$)

 $egin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} c_lpha x^lpha &= \sum_{i=1}^k (g^i(x))^2, \ g^i(x) &= \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{G}} v^i_lpha x^lpha \ \mathcal{F}^e &= \{lpha \in \mathcal{F}: lpha_j \ ext{ is even } (j=1,2,\ldots,n)\} \ \mathcal{G}^0 &= \left(ext{convex hull of } \left\{ rac{lpha}{2}: lpha \in \mathcal{F}^e
ight\}
ight) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+^n \supset \mathcal{G}. \end{aligned}$

The class Γ of suitable supports for $g^i(x)$: Let $\mathcal{G}_0 \in \Gamma$. If " $\mathcal{G} \in \Gamma$, $2\beta \notin \mathcal{F}^e$ and $2\beta \notin (\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{G} \setminus \{\beta\})$." — (1) holds then $\mathcal{G} \setminus \{\beta\} \in \Gamma$.

Phase 1: Computation of \mathcal{G}^0

Phase 2: Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}^0$. While (1) holds do $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G} \setminus \{\beta\}$. Then we obtain a minimal element of Γ , which coincides with the smallest element \mathcal{G}^* .

6. Concluding remarks

- (a) The computation of \mathcal{G}^0 is necessary in representation of sums of squares of polynomials. This is a hard combinatorial optimization problem.
- (b) The smallest element $\mathcal{G}^* \in \Gamma$ gives numerical efficiency to representation of sums of squares of polynomials. But the efficiency depends on the structure and the sparsity of \mathcal{F}^e .